



## Reviews

Summary of reviewers notes and ratings on criteria.

### Interactive Children's Books: A Content Analysis

**Content Area: AREA 9. Text Analysis/Children's, Young Adult, and Adult Literature**

**Review Worksheet**

**Individual Submission**

**(3/3)**

#### Review #1396754

Criteria	Rate
Significance to the field	3 / 5
Relevance and soundness of theoretical rationale	3 / 5
Rigor of Methodology	4 / 5
Trustworthiness of results and conclusions	4 / 5
Clarity of proposal	3 / 5
Appropriateness of citations grounded in the relevant literature	4 / 5
Interest to LRA Audience	3 / 5
Overall Rating	3 / 5

#### Comments to the Author

The topic is interesting, but needs more focus. Methods are clearly described, given word limitations.

#### Review #1392872

Criteria	Rate
Significance to the field	3 / 5
Relevance and soundness of theoretical rationale	3 / 5
Rigor of Methodology	2 / 5
Trustworthiness of results and conclusions	2 / 5
Clarity of proposal	3 / 5
Appropriateness of citations grounded in the relevant literature	3 / 5
Interest to LRA Audience	3 / 5
Overall Rating	2 / 5

**Comments to the Author**

I think the author has important and interesting questions and that the content is of interest to the LRA audience. As the proposal is presented here, however, i do not think that the study itself is described clearly enough. The findings, all of which seem to have something engaging about them, are presented as a long list and thus it is hard as a reader to make sense of the data sources and analysis that led to these findings. One final piece of feedback is that I think the RQs could be more finely tuned and made to be narrower and more specific. I hope that with additional work and development, this could become a strong proposal.

**Review #1392873**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Rate</b>
Significance to the field	2 / 5
Relevance and soundness of theoretical rationale	2 / 5
Rigor of Methodology	2 / 5
Trustworthiness of results and conclusions	2 / 5
Clarity of proposal	2 / 5
Appropriateness of citations grounded in the relevant literature	2 / 5
Interest to LRA Audience	2 / 5
Overall Rating	2 / 5

**Comments to the Author**

This proposal has merit. I strongly encourage the author to continue developing the piece. More information is needed in the methods section to substantiate the study relative to the broad research questions. Narrowing the research questions could be helpful in future drafts. A great start to important work.

©2017 All Academic, Inc.